|          | Case 6.67 ev e 1666 ivilivie - Becambrit 2                                                         | 10 2 1 110 1 17 02/2007 1 age 1 61 7                                                                          |  |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1 2      | Dennis D. Miller (SBN 138669) Eugene K. Chang (SBN 209568) STEIN & LUBIN LLP                       |                                                                                                               |  |
| 3        | 600 Montgomery Street, 14th Floor<br>San Francisco, CA 94111                                       |                                                                                                               |  |
| 4        | Telephone: (415) 981-0550<br>Facsimile: (415) 981-4343                                             |                                                                                                               |  |
| 5        | dmiller@steinlubin.com<br>echang@steinlubin.com                                                    |                                                                                                               |  |
| 6<br>7   | Attorneys for Defendants DAVID J. KILLIAN, ANTHONY M. MAROTTA, and ROSA COURT, LLC                 |                                                                                                               |  |
| 8        | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT                                                                       |                                                                                                               |  |
| 9        | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                                                                    |                                                                                                               |  |
| 10       | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION                                                                             |                                                                                                               |  |
| 11       |                                                                                                    |                                                                                                               |  |
| 12       | VINCENT KAMYAR VAGHAR,                                                                             | Case No. C 07 4083 MMC                                                                                        |  |
| 13       | Plaintiff,                                                                                         |                                                                                                               |  |
| 14       | v.                                                                                                 | SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID J. KILLIAN AS CO-MANAGING                                                   |  |
| 15<br>16 | DAVID J. KILLIAN; ANTHONY M. MAROTTA; and ROSA COURT, LLC, a New Jersey limited liability company, | MEMBER OF ROSA COURT, LLC IN<br>SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS<br>SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF                   |  |
| 17       | Defendants.                                                                                        | PLAINTIFF VINCENT KAMYAR VAGHAR OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO TRANSFER VENUE                                     |  |
| 18       |                                                                                                    | Date: November 16, 2007                                                                                       |  |
| 19<br>20 |                                                                                                    | Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Honorable Maxine M. Chesney Courtroom: 7                                               |  |
| 21       | I Decid I William dealers                                                                          |                                                                                                               |  |
| 22       | I, David J. Killian, declare:                                                                      |                                                                                                               |  |
| 23       | 1. I am an adult individual over eighteen years of age and a co-                                   |                                                                                                               |  |
| 24       | managing member of defendant Rosa Court, LLC ("Rosa"). I make this supplemental                    |                                                                                                               |  |
| 25       | declaration in support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint               |                                                                                                               |  |
| 26       | (the "Second Amended Complaint") of Plaintiff Vincent Kamyar Vaghar ("Vaghar") or,                 |                                                                                                               |  |
| 27       | in the Alternative, to Transfer Venue of this matter to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.      |                                                                                                               |  |
| 28       | 2. I have reviewed the d 65040002/358949v1                                                         | eclaration of Vincent Kamyar Vaghar dated  Case No. C 07 4083 MMC                                             |  |
|          |                                                                                                    | IAN AS CO-MANAGING MEMBER OF ROSA COURT, LLC IN<br>DED COMPLAINT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO TRANSFER<br>VENUE |  |

October 26, 2007 in this matter (the "Vaghar Declaration") and submit this supplemental declaration in response to the assertions set forth therein. I also have personal knowledge of the matters stated in this supplemental declaration and could and would competently testify thereto if called upon as a witness at trial or any other proceeding in this matter.

## A. All of the Necessary Third-Party Fact Witnesses and their Employers Are Located in Pennsylvania and New Jersey

- 3. Although not mentioned in Vaghar's Second Amended Complaint or the associated Vaghar Declaration, Vaghar is aware that Rosa, as well as Mr. Marotta and myself, intend to assert compulsory counterclaims against him in this matter that arise directly from his conduct with us and numerous other individuals and business entities who reside or are located within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the State of New Jersey.
- 4. The claims mentioned above will be predicated upon, among other things, Vaghar's willful breach of contract and joint venture agreement with the Defendants, as well as claims sounding in promissory estoppel and fraud in the inducement.
- 5. As set forth in Rosa's initial declaration dated October 5, 2007, as well as the declarations submitted by Mr. Marotta and me (in our individual capacities) on that date as well, none of the Defendants have had any purposeful connection with the State of California except as specifically set forth therein.
- 6. As previously stated in Defendants' October 5, 2007 Declarations, Rosa is a single purpose entity whose only business activities relate to the ownership and development of the real estate project referred to in Exhibit "A" of the Second Amended Complaint (at paragraph "C") -- at the "intersections of Federal and Juniper Streets and Federal and Clarion Streets in Philadelphia" -- where the underlying dispute involving the Defendants' alleged duty to convey a condominium to Vaghar pursuant to the Agreement for Settlement of Debt (the "Agreement") is physically located (the "Project").

| 7. Aside from Rosa's activities at the Project, Rosa has no other                           |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| business offices, assets or business operations anywhere other than in the Commonwealth     |  |  |
| of Pennsylvania (and, in particular, in the county of Philadelphia) and its administrative  |  |  |
| office located in New Jersey.                                                               |  |  |
| 8. In addition, all of the necessary third party fact witnesses who                         |  |  |
| possess first hand knowledge about the claims referred to above (and in Vaghar's Second     |  |  |
| Amended Complaint) either reside, are located, or conduct business only in Pennsylvania     |  |  |
| or New Jersey.                                                                              |  |  |
| 9. In addition, the business entities that will be subpoenaed to produce                    |  |  |
| business records in this matter are all physically located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania    |  |  |
| (primarily) and in Southern New Jersey (both of which are within the Eastern District of    |  |  |
| Pennsylvania's direct subpoena power).                                                      |  |  |
| B. The Third Party Fact Witnesses                                                           |  |  |
|                                                                                             |  |  |
| 10. The following individuals have direct knowledge of the facts                            |  |  |
| supporting the above referenced claims. Thus, Defendants will be required to compel the     |  |  |
| appearance of, among others, at least the following individuals to provide testimony and    |  |  |
| produce documents at trial (or during various other pre-trial stages of this proceeding) in |  |  |
| support of our counter claims in this matter:                                               |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Vincent S. Mancini, A.I.A.</li> <li>Landmark Professional Design, Inc.</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| 1324 Locust Street, Suite 101 Philadelphia, PA 19107                                        |  |  |
| Michael Mullen                                                                              |  |  |
| CB Richard Ellis, Brokerage Services 1800 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor |  |  |
| Philadelphia, PA 19103                                                                      |  |  |
| • <u>Patrick J. Duffy</u> Principal, Chatham Bay Group                                      |  |  |
| 220 West Rittenhouse Square Philadelphia, PA 19103                                          |  |  |
|                                                                                             |  |  |
| 65040002/358949v1 3 Case No. C 07 408                                                       |  |  |
|                                                                                             |  |  |

Nancy Andrelczyk
Office Manager and Custodian of Records
AnMar Management
901 Route 168, Suite 110
Turnersville, NJ 08012

- 11. Mr. Mancini is the Rosa Project's Pennsylvania Licensed Architect who has first hand knowledge of Vaghar's direct role and involvement in the Project and resulting exposure to Defendants on our counterclaims. Mr. Mancini is a Pennsylvania resident. Landmark Professional Design, Inc. is a Pennsylvania based architectural firm.
- 12. Mr. Mullen is the Philadelphia based Real Estate Broker with CB Richard Ellis that assisted Vaghar (along with Mr. Marotta and me) with our joint venture to pursue a real estate investment at the additional Pennsylvania site referred to by Vaghar as the project at "12<sup>th</sup> & Jackson St. in Philadelphia." (*See* paragraph 10 of the Vaghar Declaration.) Mr. Mullen has first hand knowledge of Vaghar's direct role in that project and his resulting exposure to us on our counterclaims against him. Mr. Mullen is, upon information and belief, a resident of Pennsylvania and CB Richard Ellis is a Pennsylvania licensed real estate brokerage firm.
- Group served as the project consultant to Rosa and have direct knowledge of, among other things, Vaghar's repeated attempts to initiate a business relationship with the Defendants in Pennsylvania and his breach of the parties' joint venture agreement in this matter. Mr. Duffy also has first hand knowledge of Vaghar's direct role in the Rosa project and resulting exposure to the Defendants on their counterclaims. Mr. Duffy is a resident of Pennsylvania and the Chatham Bay Group is a Pennsylvania entity formed and domiciled in Pennsylvania.
- 14. Ms. Andrelczyk is the office manager and custodian of records for AnMar Management and has direct knowledge of Vaghar's repeated attempts to initiate a business relationship with the Defendants in Pennsylvania, as well as the facts supporting Defendants' counterclaims against Vaghar in this matter. Ms. Andrelczyk is a resident 65040002/358949v1

  4

  Case No. C 07 4083 MMC

of the State of New Jersey. AnMar Management is a New Jersey based entity that conducts business only in Pennsylvania and New Jersey.

## C. Vaghar Overstates the Parties' Actual Agreement:

15. In paragraph 14 of the Vaghar Declaration, Vaghar contends that:

"Before entering into the Agreement I [Vaghar] specifically discussed with Killian and Marotta that any dispute would be governed by California law and heard in a court venued in California. Killian and Marotta both consented to this agreement verbally and over email, as evidenced from Marotta (Killian was copied on the email) dated October 19, 2006."

- 16. Despite Vaghar's conclusory assertions to the contrary, all of our discussions on this subject with respect to the Agreement were confined to his preference over which particular state's law (e.g., Pennsylvania or California) would apply to any dispute between us.
- 17. I also wish to point out that Rosa and I never sought the advice of a lawyer to review the new documents appended to the Vaghar Declaration before we physically signed them in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Had I known that Vaghar would seek to re-write the terms of our actual agreement with him, I would have retained a lawyer to make sure that the Agreement clearly reflected all aspects of what Vaghar and the Defendants agreed upon on this issue.
- 18. Neither I, nor to my knowledge Anthony Marotta (either in his individual or representative capacity for Rosa Court, LLC) ever agreed to defend or otherwise litigate a dispute involving the Agreement with Vaghar in the State of California. That is precisely why we asked him to have his lawyers prepare a document (namely, the Agreement) that would supercede the personal guarantees to which he now claims require us to travel to California to litigate this matter.
- 19. In addition, until reviewing the Vaghar Declaration, I have neither heard of nor used the term "venued" or "forum" in connection with my dealings with Vaghar. Rather, the document to which he admits was replaced by the Agreement at issue 65040002/358949v1

  Case No. C 07 4083 MMC

herein actually uses the term "settled" when referring to "disputes, differences or questions" between us that would have involved arbitration in the State of California. (Again, as Vaghar himself even concedes, that document was voided by our subsequent Agreement.)

20. In any event, Vaghar well knows that the Defendants have not yet formally responded to the Arbitration that he initiated in Los Angeles. When we do, we have advised him that we intend to challenge his assertion that we are required to arbitrate all of the claims set forth therein in California.

9 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 | ///

11 ///

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

15 | ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

. . | ,,

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 | ///

28 65040002/358949v1